



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/484,121	01/13/2000	Ralf Reiner Schumann	103888-307-NP	9305	
. 7	7590 06/28/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
GOODWIN PROCTER LLP			KAM, CF	KAM, CHIH MIN	
103 EISENHOWER PARKWAY ROSELAND, NJ 07068-1757			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,	•		1653		
			DATE MAILED: 06/28/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/484,121	SCHUMANN ET AL.			
Auticory Modell	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Chih-Min Kam	1653			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 03 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to averal final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ition. A proper reply to a not places the application in			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires <u>6</u> months from the mailing date					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the fee to the first period of the control o	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CFI f extension and the corresponding amount in the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:				
(a) \square they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);			
(b) \boxtimes they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);				
(c) they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mater	rially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.			
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s): See Continuation Sheet.				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) <u>34-36</u> would be canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	pe allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly			
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: 34-41.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b)☐ disapproved by th	ne Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	t(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).				
10.⊠ Other: <i>Interview summary on 3/2/04</i>		CHRISTOPHER S. F. LOW SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600			

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amendment to the claims raises new matters regarding the serum concentration of lipopolysaccharide binding protein is from 15 to 275 ug/ml (climas 38-40). In the amendment of June 3, 2004, claim 34 and 38-40 have been amended, and claims 37 and 41 are withdrawn from consideration. Applicants' response has been fully considered, however, claims 38-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C.112, first paragraph, and also objection to new matter added to specification.

If applicants' amendment to claims 34-38 were entered, it would have the following response:

- 1. Claims 38-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Claims 38-40 are directed to a process for detoxyifying bacterial lipopolysaccharide in a patient with septicemia, comprising administering an effective amount of lipopolysaccharide binding protein (LBP) to elevate the serum concentration of LBP to a suffificiently high level to suppress lipopolysaccharide induced release of cytokine, wherein the serum concentration of LBP is from 15 to 275 ug/mL. The specification only shows the LBP in the mouse is up to 10 ug/mL when 100 ug of mLBP was added (see Fig. 4), it does not indicate the serum concentration of LBP is in the range of 15 to 275 ug/mL, which is obtained from a clinical study of human patients in a post filing publication of Inventors (Blood, 98, 3800-3808, 2001). Because of the lack of description of the serum concentration of LBP is from 15 to 275 ug/mL in the method of detoxyifying bacterial lipopolysaccharide as encompassed by the claims, applicants have failed to sufficiently describe the claimed invention, in such full, clear, concise terms that a skilled artisan would not recognize applicants were in possession of the claimed invention.
- 2. The amendments filed June 3, 2004 are objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132 because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132 states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: The specification does not indicate the serum concentration of LBP is from 15 to 275 ug/mL in the method of for detoxyifying bacterial lipopolysaccharide (see page 3, lines 16-18: Fig. 4), however, the amended claims 38-40 recite the new matters. Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

Continuation of 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): If entered, the rejection of claims 34-36 under 35 USC 112, first and second paragraphs.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: objection to new matter added to the specification, and new claims 38-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chih-Min Kam whose telephone number is (571) 272-0948. The examiner can normally be reached on 8.00-4:30, Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Low can be reached on (571) 272-0951. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 308-4227 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Chih-Min Kam, Ph. D. CAK Patent Examiner

June 24, 2004